

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

clo

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
12/01/1998	WAYNE D. BALDWIN	8530.318USC1	4928
590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
& GOULD PC		PELHAM, JOS	EPH MOORE
IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3742	- 0
		DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	97
	12/01/1998 590 06/17/2004 & GOULD PC	12/01/1998 WAYNE D. BALDWIN 590 06/17/2004 & GOULD PC	12/01/1998 WAYNE D. BALDWIN 8530.318USC1  590 06/17/2004 EXAMI & GOULD PC PELHAM, JOSI S, MN 55402-0903 ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			سته
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	+
Office Action Summary	09/204,013	BALDWIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph M Pelham	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M     2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 37-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 37-57 and 59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 58 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	<b>)</b> ).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/204,013

Art Unit: 3742

The Examiner acknowledges Applicants' submission of the RCE and amendment filed 19 May 2003. Claims 37-59 are now pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 37-57 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5454471 to Norvell in view of U.S. Patent 5750962 to Hyatt and either U.S. Patent 4816646 to Solomon et al or 4806736 to Schirico.

Norvell discloses, at Figures 1-5 (see especially Fig. 1), column 4, lines 6-18, col. 5, line 52, through col. 6, line 6, col. 6. lines 46-61, and column 7, line 21, through column 8, line 7, a method for transporting cooked pizzas substantially as claimed, in which boxed pizzas are transported, including a pliable fabric container 10 having a second interior chamber 24 to receive a phase change heat retention member and which is separable, by means of a two-position cover 84, from a first chamber for holding pizza, a selectively movable flap 18 connected to the bottom of the container 10, and a thermal insulating layer 14 (foam) beneath the second interior chamber 24 so that heat from the heat retention member is directed primarily from the second interior chamber to the first interior chamber.

Norvell discloses the electric heating of the heat retention member by battery, but does not disclose a heating coil in thermal contact with the surface of a sealed heat retention member, a thermostat which opens at 95° - 105°C, a handle, a water heat retention member, or applying AC power to the heat retention member while it is in the second chamber, prior to or after inserting a pizza.

Referring to Figures 1-8, and column 3, lines 21-60, Hyatt discloses a heating coil 24, 26 in thermal contact with a sealed heat retention member 18, a thermostat 30, and AC or DC power for the heating coil. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the coil, thermostat, and power means of Hyatt to the device of Norvell to obviate the need for a microwave oven in which to heat the heat retention member prior to use in a pizza carrier, allowing more efficient use of the carrier.

Figures 1-4 and column 3 line 68, through column 4, line 3, of Schirico, who also discloses a handle, or Figure 4 and column 3, lines 1-12, of Solomon et al, for whom a handle would be an obvious adjunct, discloses applying AC power to a heat retention member within a pizza carrier, prior to inserting a pizza. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to heat the heat retention member of Norvell in view of Hyatt within a pizza carrier, after the manner of either Solomon et al or Schirico, so that the carrier would be immediately ready for use upon heating the heat retention member; and handle would have been obvious to more easily grasp the carrier. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to charge the heat retention member after a pizza is inserted at such times as the customer demand is sufficiently high to preclude charging beforehand.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3742

1

Claim 58 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

At pages 10-11 of the Amendment, Applicant argues against Norvell, Hyatt, Solomon and Schirico individually; however, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

At page 11, first full paragraph, Applicant argues that Norvell does not disclose a pliable fabric structure. Referring, however, to col. 5, line 52,through col. 6, line 61, Norvell discloses interior and exterior *fabric* construction, with open cell foam insulation, which necessarily forms a pliable fabric structure.

The Examiner notes as well that Applicants' averred shortcomings of Hyatt, Solomon, and Schirico, being the direction of heat from the second interior volume to the first interior volume, a cover for the second interior volume, is explicitly disclosed by Norvell, as discussed in the rejection above.

## Conclusion

This is the first Office action in an RCE. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed previously and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered earlier in prosecution. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the RCE. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M Pelham whose telephone number is 703-308-1709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/204,013

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/7/04

JÖSEPH PELHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER